

Applicants, Assignee, and the undersigned agree that Kitai teaches LANs, but we disagree with the Examiner's position on the implications of LANs in Kitai. In particular, we submit that it does not matter whether Kitai teaches LANs, because LANs are not private networks.

It is uncontested that Kitai fails to teach frame relay or point-to-point networks; LANs are the only candidates for private networks in Kitai asserted by the Examiner. Thus, the pending rejections rely on the Examiner's assertion that Kitai teaches private networks by teaching LANs. Because LANs are *not* private networks, the rejections based on Kitai are improper and should be withdrawn or reversed.

As promised by the undersigned during the interview, arguments are provided below to more fully explain and show why "private networks" do not include LANs.

**The meaning of "private networks" depends on the specification, and on skill in the art**

The term "private network" was coined for use in the present application – its first appearance in the body of the specification is in quotes. It is an exercise of the well-established right of patent applicants to be their own lexicographers. Accordingly, the specification **must** be considered when determining what is and what is not meant by "private network". See M.P.E.P. § 2111 (PTO gives claims their broadest reasonable meaning while "taking into account whatever enlightenment by way of definitions or otherwise that may be afforded by the written description contained in applicant's specification"). "Private network" cannot be given any meaning whatsoever – it can only be given a meaning that is consistent with the examples and discussion in the application.

The application gives examples of private networks, e.g., frame relay networks and/or point-to-point networks. The application does **not** state that a LAN is a private network; if it did, the Examiner's interpretation would be correct.

On the other hand, the application does not contain an express contrary statement such as "a LAN is not a private network". The usefulness of such a statement was not apparent during preparation of the application. It simply did not occur to the undersigned while preparing the application that someone might read "private network" to include LANs.

In short, the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims must be consistent both with the specification and with the interpretation that those skilled in the art would reach. M.P.E.P. § 2111. We therefore face the question of how the term "private network" would be understood by one of skill in the art who read the application to gather the intended meaning of that term.

**A person of skill understands: LANs are not WANs, and frame relay implies a WAN**

A person of skill in the art would understand certain things even before reading the application. For instance, one skilled in the art would understand the following definitions, or similar definitions:

LAN (n.) Local area network, a network of multiple interconnected data terminals or devices within a local area to facilitate data transfer. Most notable of LAN topologies is ethernet, token ring, FDDI, etc.

WAN (n.) Wide area network, a network of circuits spanning a large region or global in proportions, that is used to transmit data between widespread subscribers. See also LAN.

FRAD (n.) Frame relay assembler/disassembler, used to interface a LAN with a frame relay WAN.

"High Performance Computing and Communications Glossary 2.1" (1993, 1995) (at <http://wotug.ukc.ac.uk/parallel/acronyms/hpccgloss>)

Likewise, one of skill would understand that the differences between LANs and WANs go beyond the differences in their geographic scope. For instance, one skilled in the art would have an understanding of the following passage:

Remote bridging presents several unique internetworking challenges, one of which is the difference between LAN and WAN speeds. Although several fast WAN technologies now are establishing a presence in geographically dispersed internetworks, LAN speeds are often an order of magnitude faster than WAN speeds. **Vast differences in LAN and WAN speeds** can prevent users from running delay-sensitive LAN applications over the WAN.

"What is Bridging?" (Cisco Systems; copyright 2000) (at [http://www.pulsewan.com/data101/bridging\\_basics.htm](http://www.pulsewan.com/data101/bridging_basics.htm)) (emphasis added)

One of skill would also associate frame relay with WANs, not with LANs. This is clear from the definition of FRAD above. It is also apparent in the puzzled question "*How would there be Frame Relay in a LAN environment?*" in the following posting:

**Re: Encapsulation for LAN emulation**

- **From:** comp.dcom.cell-relay@usenet.ucs.indiana.edu
- **Date:** Thu, 5 May 1994 17:53:59 -0500
- **X-Originally-From:** rajeew@trillium.com

V. Srinivas writes,

> Is there any move towards providing LAN emulation on the Frame Relay  
> ATM interworking scenario also ?

LAN Emulation is currently being defined for IEEE 802.3 and 802.5 only. I don't understand the "LAN emulation on the Frame Relay ATM interworking scenario". How would there be Frame Relay in a LAN environment? Of course if LAN Emulation is carried over an ATM network that interworks with a Frame Relay network to provide end-to-end connectivity, then sure your scenario exists, but that is transparent to LAN Emulation.

Rajeev Gupta Email : rajeev@trillium.com  
Trillium Digital Systems, Inc. Voice (w) : (310) 479-0500  
2001 S. Barrington Ave., Suite 215 Fax (w) : (310) 575-0172  
Los Angeles, CA 90025.  
(at <http://cell-relay.indiana.edu/mhonarc/cell-relay/1994-May/msg00091.html>)

The definitions, article excerpt, and posting provided above are not comprehensive, but the undersigned believes they are representative of how one of skill would understand the relationship between LANs, WANs, and frame relay before reading the application. This includes the understandings that (a) LANs and WANs are not readily interchangeable, and (b) frame relay is associated with WANs as opposed to LANs.

**The application's "private networks" would be understood as WANs, not LANs**

As explained in the Response filed February 4, 2004, "private networks" are defined and exemplified in the application to include frame relay networks and/or point-to-point networks. As noted above, one of skill would not expect to find frame relay in a LAN, and would instead associate frame relay with WANs. Since the application does not suggest otherwise, one of skill would therefore understand private networks to be WANs rather than LANs.

Moreover, the text and figures of the application treat a private network as something that connects local and remote sites; page 13 refers to "remote sites" and "distant networks". LANs are *local* area networks, whereas WANs are *wide* area networks spanning large regions. One of